•		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summ	anı	09/871,127	ANDERSON ET AL.		
Examiner-initiated interview Summar		Examiner	Art Unit		
		William L. Miller	3677		
All Participants:		Status of Application:			
(1) <u>William L. Miller</u> .		(3)			
(2) <u>Tait Swanson</u> .		(4)			
Date of Interview: 1-18-2005 w.M. Time:					
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No					
If Yes, provide a brief description:					
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed:					
Claims discussed: 1, 7, 13, 26, and 27					
Prior art documents discussed: Bydalek (US#6435791); Hans (US#3829163); Goiny (US#4969788)					
Part II.					
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Claims 1 and 13 were amended to overcome the prior art of record and to pass the case to issue.					
Part III.					
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 					
DAME A RADAR ASH F CCC					
PRIMARY EXAMINER					
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appr	ropriate)	